



Environmental life cycle assessment of producing willow, alfalfa and straw from spring barley as feedstocks for bioenergy or biorefinery systems

Parajuli, Ranjan ; Knudsen, Marie Trydeman; Djomo, Sylvestre Njakou; Corona, Andrea; Birkved, Morten; Dalgaard, Tommy

Published in:
Science of the Total Environment

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.207](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.207)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Parajuli, R., Knudsen, M. T., Djomo, S. N., Corona, A., Birkved, M., & Dalgaard, T. (2017). Environmental life cycle assessment of producing willow, alfalfa and straw from spring barley as feedstocks for bioenergy or biorefinery systems. *Science of the Total Environment*, 586, 226-240.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.207>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Supporting Information (SI)

Environmental Life Cycle Assessment of producing willow, alfalfa and straw from spring barley as feedstocks for bioenergy or biorefinery systems

Ranjan Parajuli^{a,*}, Marie Trydeman Knudsen^a, Sylvestre Njakou Djomo^a, Andrea Corona^b, Morten Birkved^b, Tommy Dalgaard^a

^aDepartment of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Blichers Allé 20, DK-8830 Tjele, Denmark

^bDepartment of Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Building 424, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

*Corresponding author, email: ranjan.parajuli@agro.au.dk, Phone: +4571606831

Contents

S1. Calculation of non-harvestable residues for alfalfa.....	2
S.2. Calculation of the SOC change according to IPCC method	3
S3. Inventory for willow-cuttings production.....	5
S4. List of active ingredients assumed for the production of the selected crops.....	6

1 S1. Calculation of non-harvestable residues for alfalfa

2 To calculate the SOC change for alfalfa, required parameters were taken averaging from the two
3 studies Djurhuus and Hansen (2003) and Pietsch *et al.* (2007). The consistency of the assumed
4 parameters (Table S.1) were checked with Taghizadeh-Toosi *et al.* (2014a), and was further
5 compared with the dataset of C-tool (Petersen *et al.*, 2013).

6 **Table S.1:** Required parameters for alfalfa to calculate the non-harvestable residues and related C
7 assimilation (values indicated as C= calculated, M = measured, A = assumed) after the given
8 references

Parameters/Sources	Unit	Calculated after,		Average
		(Djurhuus and Hansen, 2003)	(Pietsch et al., 2007)	
a. Above ground				
biomass removed	t DM/ha	12.2 (C)	8.68 (M)	10.44
b. Total root biomass ¹	t DM/ha	2.68 (C)	2.26 (C)	2.68
c. Root + stubble	t DM/ha	4.85 (M)	5.75 (M)	5.3
d. Stubble only	t DM/ha	2.17 (M)	3.49 (M)	2.83
e. Senescence	t DM/ha	1 (M)	1 (A)	1
f. Stubble/(harvested yield)		0.18 (C)	0.4 (C)	0.29 (C)
Harvest index of main crop relative to aboveground biomass (alfa) ²	ratio	0.79 (C)	0.66 (C)	0.53
Root and exudate C as proportion of total C assimilation (beta), root of total fixed C ³		0.26 (C)	0.26 [†] (C)	0.26
Stubble + root/net yield ⁴		0.4 (C)	0.66 (8C)	0.53

Assumptions:

¹ Calculated from the above data sets (c-d)

² Calculated from above data sets $[a/(a+d+e)]$.

³ Calculated based on the ratio of root DM (Djurhuus and Hansen, 2003) to the net yield.

[†] calculated accordingly assuming the root DM as in Djurhuus and Hansen (2003).

⁴ Calculated from above data sets (c / a).

S.2. Calculation of the SOC change according to IPCC method

In the basic scenario (Table 2), SOC change in 20 years and 100 years were calculated based on the method suggested in Petersen et al. (2013). In the sensitivity analysis, the calculation is based on the IPCC Tier 1 methodology (IPCC, 2006), modified in Grogan and Matthews (2002). SOC available from the non-harvestable above ground and below ground residues were calculated for the production of selected crops and the reference crop land (Eq. i). Available SOC from the below and above ground residues for the main crop (C_{BGmi} and C_{AGmi} respectively) and from the reference crop (C_{BGr} and C_{AGr}) are shown in Table 2 of the main document.

$$\Delta GHG_{SOC} = \left[\frac{(C_{BGr} - C_{BGmi}) + (C_{AGr} - C_{AGmi}) + SOC_{ini} * F_{mg} * F_I * (F_{LUr} - F_{LUmi})}{(Y_{mi} * T)} \right] * 3.66$$

.....Eq. (i)

where, initial SOC stock (SOC_{ini}) = 90 t C/ha (Taghizadeh-Toosi *et al.*, 2014b), F_{MG} and F_I are the relative stock change factors related to the management and inputs (IPCC, 2006). Relative stock change factors for the reference land use and the main crop production indicated over 20 years were adapted from IPCC (2006). The reference land use was assumed, as was continuously managed by annual crops for greater than 20 years (IPCC, 2006). Y_{mi} (t DM/ha/y) is the annual yield of the biomasses (Table 2, in the main document). A negative value of ΔGHG_{SOC} implies a carbon sink where as a positive value represents a source for GHG emissions In the case of barley the impact related to agricultural residues removal was also calculated from equation (ii) and was added to the equation (i).

$$\Delta GHG_{residues} = \left[\frac{SOC_{ini} * F_{mg} * F_{LUmi} * (F_{INr} - F_{IR})}{(Y_{mi} * T)} \right] * 3.66$$

.....Eq. (i)

where, SOC_{ini} = initial SOC stock; F_{LU} and F_{MG} are the relative stock change factors related to land use and management. F_{IN} and F_{IR} are the relative stock change factors related to inputs under no residues removal and their removal respectively (IPCC, 2006). $T=20$ years is the accounting period, and 3.66 is the ratio of the molecular weight of CO_2 to C. Related parameters are shown in Table S.2.

1 **Table S.2.** Relative stocks change factors related to the land use and management over 20 years,
2 adapted from IPCC (2006)

Parameters/Crops	Spring barley	Willow	Alfalfa
Accounting period (T)	20	20	20
Yield (Y_m)	3.94	10.63	12.204
Factors assumed:			
- Initial Soil C (C_o)	90	90	90
- Reference land use ^a (F_{LUr})	0.69	0.69	0.69
- Main crop ₁ (F_{LUm})	0.69 ^a	0.82 ^b	0.82 ^b
- Tillage ^c (F_{mg})	1	1	1
- Input (F_I)	0.92 ^d	1.11 ^e	1 ^f
Factors assumed for residues:			
- Straw residues removed (F_R)	0.92	-	-
- Straw residues incorporated F_{in})	1	-	-
Assumptions:			
^a Area continuously managed for >20 yrs, to predominantly annual crops.			
^b Relative change of SOC stock for temporary set aside of annually cropland.			
^c Full tillage			
^d low residues return.			
^e High residue return without manure.			
^f Medium residue return.			

3

4

1 **S3. Inventory for willow-cuttings production**

2 The database for the willow-cuttings production was based on the annual average material inputs
 3 assumed for the production of willow during the period of the plantation until the first harvest. The
 4 inputs estimated per 1 ha of land (Table 1 in the main document) was assumed for the production
 5 of about 300,000 cuttings (Sevel *et al.*, 2012). The numbers of cuttings assumed for the plantation
 6 in 1 ha of land was 12,000 (Hamelin *et al.*, 2012; Sevel *et al.*, 2012).

7 **Table S.3.** Inventory for the willow cuttings production

Activities	Units	Input per ha ¹	Remarks
a. Direct primary energy input (diesel) ²			
Ploughing	MJ/ha/y	35	Diesel = 20.7 l/ha
Rotary harrowing	MJ/ha/y	8	Diesel = 4.76 l/ha
Fertilizing	MJ/ha/y	45	Diesel = 2 l/ha
weed control	MJ/ha/y	10	Diesel = 1.5 l/ha
Whip harvester, diesel	MJ/ha/y	156	Diesel= 90.85 l/ha
b. Agro-chemicals input			
N	kg/ha/y	74	Table 1
P	kg/ha/y	73	Table 1
K	kg/ha/y	207	Table 1
Glyphosate + other herbicides	kg/ha/y	1.036	Table 1
c. Transport of cuttings ³	t km	d. 0.72	

Assumptions:

¹ Based on the annual input considered for the willow production until the first harvest (Table 1).

² Norms of diesel input was based on Dalgaard *et al.* (2001). ³ Diesel for willow-cuttings harvest was based on Caputo *et al.* (2013).

³ Transport of willow-cuttings as the plantation. Weight of cuttings = 20 g/cuttings (Rewald *et al.*, 2016) at 3 km distance.

1 **S4. List of active ingredients assumed for the production of the selected crops**

2 The active ingredients of the pesticides, as shown in Table S.4 were the total dose applied 1 ha of
3 land for the production of the selected crops (Ørum and Samsøe-Petersen, 2014; SEGES, 2010).
4 The annual average dose, as presented in the main analysis (Table 1) was calculated after dividing
5 by the respective life cycle years of the selected crops production.

6 **Table S.4.** List of pesticides and total dose applied over the life cycle years of the selected crops
7 (Herbicides = H, Growth regulator= G, Fungicides = F and Insecticides = I)

Pesticides, a.is.	Types	CAS	Application (kg/ha) ¹		
			Spring barley [±]	Alfalfa ^{±±}	Willow ^{±±}
2,4-d	H	94-75-7	0.0052	-	-
Bentazone	H	25057-89-0	0.0202	0.479	-
Aminopyralid	H	150114-71-9	0.0002	-	-
Bromoxynil	H	1689-84-5	0.0355	-	-
Clodinafop-propargyl	H	105512-06-9	0.00002	-	-
Clopyralid	H	1702-17-6	-	-	0.031
Cycloxydim	H	101 205-02-1	-	-	0.286
Dicamba	H	83164-33-4	0.0007	-	-
Diflufenican	H	71283-80-2	0.0070	-	0.0476
Fenoxaprop-p-ethyle	H	145701-23-1	0.0058	0.069	-
Florasulam	H	144740-54-5	0.0003	-	-
Foramsulfuron	H	173159-57-4	-	-	19.048
Fluroxypyr	H	69377-81-7	0.0207	-	-
Glyphosate	H	1071-83-6	-	-	-
Iodosulfuron-methyl-natrium	H	144550-36-7	0.0006	-	-
Ioxynil	H	1689-83-4	0.0324	-	-
MCPA	H	94-74-6	0.2448	-	-
Metsulfuron-methyl	H	74223-64-6	0.0003	-	-

Pendimethalin	H	40487-42-1	0.0119	0.45	0.19
Propaquizafop	H	111479-05-1	-	-	0.095
Triasulfuron	H	52888-80-9	0.00003	-	-
Tribenuron-methyl	H	400852-66-6	0.0021	-	-
Sulfosulfuron	H	141776-32-1	0.00002	-	-
Thifensulfuron-methyl	H	79277-27-3	0.0002	-	-
Chlormequat-chlorid	G	104206-82-8	0.0110	-	-
Ethephon	G	101-21-3	0.0257	-	-
Mepiquat-chlorid	G	1596-84-5	0.0037	-	-
Prohexadion-calcium	G	16672-87-0	0.00004	-	-
Trinexapac-ethyle	G	56425-91-3	0.0025	-	-
Azoxystrobin	F	131860-33-8	0.0016	-	-
Boscalid	F	188425-85-6	0.0109	-	-
Cyprodinil	F	121552-61-2	0.0016	-	-
Epoxiconazole	F	133855-98-8	0.0158	-	-
Fenpropidine	F	67306-00-7	0.0064	-	-
Imazalil	F	35554-44-0	0.0082	-	-
Metrafenone	F	220899-03-6	0.0011	-	-
Picoxystrobin	F	117428-22-5	0.0013	-	-
Propiconazole	F	60207-90-1	0.0044	-	-
Prothioconazole	F	178928-70-6	0.0292	-	-
Pyraclostrobin	F	175013-18-0	0.0179	-	-
Tebuconazole	F	107534-96-3	0.0423	-	-
Thiabendazole	F	148-79-8	0.0001	-	-
Alpha-cypermethrin	I	67375-30-8	0.003	-	-

Cypermethrin	I	52315-07-8	0.0054	-	-
Dimethoate	I	60-51-5	0.0065	-	-
Gamma-cyhalothrin	I	76703-62-3	0.00001	-	-
Lambda-cyhalothrin	I	91465-08-6	0.0004	-	-
Pirimicarb	I	23103-98-2	0.0065	-	-
Tau-fluvalinate	I	102851-06-9	0.0029	-	-
Total (in a life cycle)			0.60	0.998	21.65

Assumptions:

¹ Data source: [†](Ørum and Samsøe-Petersen, 2014); ^{††}after SEGES (2010) for the total life cycle years.

1

2

Reference List

- Caputo J, Balogh SB, Volk TA, Johnson L, Puettmann M, Lippke B, *et al.* Incorporating Uncertainty into a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Model of Short-Rotation Willow Biomass (*Salix* spp.) Crops. *BioEnergy Research* 2013; 7: 48-59.
- Dalgaard T, Halberg N, Porter JR. A model for fossil energy use in Danish agriculture used to compare organic and conventional farming. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 2001; 87: 51-65.
- Djurhuus J, Hansen EM. Dry Matter and Nitrogen in Crop Residues in Agriculture. Internal note. Aarhus University, Denmark, 2003, pp. 8.
- Grogan P, Matthews R. A modelling analysis of the potential for soil carbon sequestration under short rotation coppice willow bioenergy plantations. *Soil Use and Management* 2002; 18: 175-183.
- Hamelin L, Jørgensen U, Petersen BM, Olesen JE, Wenzel H. Modelling the carbon and nitrogen balances of direct land use changes from energy crops in Denmark: a consequential life cycle inventory. *Global Change Biology Bioenergy* 2012; 4: 889-907.
- IPCC, 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan. 4. 11.1- 11.24. <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html> (accessed Sep 27, 2012).
- Ørum JE, Samsøe-Petersen L, 2014. Bekæmpelsesmiddelstatistik 2013: behandlingshyppighed og belastning. Orientering fra Miljøstyrelsen nr. 6, 2014. Miljøstyrelsen, Copenhagen, Denmark. 1-66. <http://www2.mst.dk/Udgiv/publikationer/2014/12/978-87-93283-33-6.pdf> (accessed Dec 15, 2015).
- Petersen BM, Knudsen MT, Hermansen JE, Halberg N. An approach to include soil carbon changes in life cycle assessments. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 2013; 52: 217-224.
- Pietsch G, Friedel JK, Freyer B. Lucerne management in an organic farming system under dry site conditions. *Field Crops Research* 2007; 102: 104-118.
- Rewald B, Kunze ME, Godbold DL. NH₄ : NO₃ nutrition influence on biomass productivity and root respiration of poplar and willow clones. *GCB Bioenergy* 2016; 8: 51-58.
- SEGES, 2010. Growing instructions-Crops. SEGES, Agro Food Park, Aarhus, Denmark. [https://dyrk-plant.dlbr.dk/Web/\(S\(pgsviibw4c1053wjgai5ni1p\)\)/forms/Afgroeder.aspx?kategori=1](https://dyrk-plant.dlbr.dk/Web/(S(pgsviibw4c1053wjgai5ni1p))/forms/Afgroeder.aspx?kategori=1) (accessed Sep 12, 2015).
- Sevel L, Nord-Larsen T, Raulund-Rasmussen K. Biomass production of four willow clones grown as short rotation coppice on two soil types in Denmark. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 2012; 46: 664-672.
- Taghizadeh-Toosi A, Christensen BT, Hutchings NJ, Vejlin J, Kätterer T, Glendining M, *et al.* C-TOOL – A soil carbon model and its parameterisation. *Ecological Modelling* 2014a; 292: 11-25.
- Taghizadeh-Toosi A, Olesen JE, Kristensen K, Elsgaard L, Østergaard HS, Lægdsmand M, *et al.* Changes in carbon stocks of Danish agricultural mineral soils between 1986 and 2009. *European Journal of Soil Science* 2014b; 65: 730-740.